

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6944-2020

Date of Decision : 09.09.2020

Anu and anotherPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

...

(Through video conferencing)

Present: Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the behest of the private respondents No. 4 to 8.

It is contended that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage on 05.09.2020 against the wishes of the private respondents who have been threatening them with dire consequences. Soon after their marriage, the petitioners submitted a representation dated 05.09.2020 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon so far. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents for taking a decision on their representation (Annexure P5).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed by the petitioners, the respondent No.2 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Karnal, is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 05.09.2020 (Annexure P6) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the alleged threat perception, if any.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

09.09.2020

rupi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No