

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6971-2020

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 28, 2020

SANTOSH RANI AND ANR. ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR.RAKESH GUPTA, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MR. SIDHAKMEET SANDHU, AAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 9.

Reply by way of affidavit of Ajaypal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police Rural, Patiala, has been filed by learned counsel for the State, wherein it has been stated that vide statement (Annexure R1/T) made by petitioner No.1, she does not apprehend any danger from the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of petitioner No.1, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

September 28, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE