

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

Date of decision: 10.9.2020

1.

CWP No.14056 of 2020(O&M)

Ravinder and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

2.

CWP No.14057 of 2020(O&M)

Inderjeet and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of CWP Nos.14056 and 14057 of 2020 as identical question of law and fact are involved for adjudication.

For facility of reference, facts are taken from CWP No.14056 of 2020.

The petitioners, by invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for issuance of writ of mandamus to allow the petitioners to continue in service till regular recruitment is made and not to terminate their services by posting the Lecturers on deputation/transfer.

Perusal of allegations made in the petition would reveal that without approaching the competent authority for redressal of their grievance and giving opportunity to the Department to examine their cases on the basis of their qualification and in the light of policy in vogue, the petitioners have rushed to this Court by invoking its extraordinary jurisdiction. In the given circumstances, interest of justice commands that the petitions be disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file detailed representation to the competent authority. Accordingly, without examining merits of allegations raised in the petition, petitions stand disposed of. The petitioners are left at liberty to make a detailed representation in each case to the competent authority, within a period of 7 days, against proper receipt/postal documents. In case such a representation is made by the petitioner(s), same shall be decided by the competent authority within a period of 30 days from the date of its filing. In case the petitioner(s) makes a representation within a period of 7 days, his services shall not be terminated till the decision of his representation(s) within the stipulated period.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2020

‘D. Gulati’

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no