

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26911-2020

Date of decision: 9.9.2020

Narender @ Dhillu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana

Mr.Vikrant Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr.Anmol Verma Khajwaniya, Advocate
for owner of the vehicle in question

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0228 dated 25.6.2019 (Annexure P1), registered under Sections 166A, 323, 379B, 384, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Dadri Sadar, District Charkhi Dadri and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise and affidavit dated 15.8.2020 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively).

States that a compromise has been reached between the parties.

At this stage, Mr.Anmol Verma Khajwaniya, Advocate causes

representation on behalf of the owner of the vehicle in question. He states that he is the owner of the vehicle in question. The complainant is the driver. He is the actual sufferer. He will suffer irreparable loss and injury in case this compromise is allowed. Complainant Sonu is not competent to enter into the compromise against the wishes of the owner, as the FIR itself was registered being a driver of Ranbir Singh.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

9.9.2020
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No