

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr.No.107**

**CRWP No.6948 of 2020 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2020.

Amandeep Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

***(Heard through video conferencing)***

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Sunil Doda, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Prabhjot Singh Walia, Asstt.AG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)**

**CRM -W No.897 of 2020**

This application has been moved for placing on record the judgment and decree dated 13.12.2019 (Annexure P-7) qua the dissolution of the first marriage of petitioner No.1.

Heard.

The same is allowed.

Annexure P-7 is taken on record.

**CRWP No.6948 of 2020**

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus,

directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. A further prayer has also been made for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass them (petitioners) in case any false criminal complaint is lodged by respondents No.4 to 7 or any of their other relatives. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, on 30.07.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, Asstt.AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-6) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law. However, it is

clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any proceedings initiated by the competent Authority/ person on account of their afore-said marriage and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)  
JUDGE

18.09.2020.  
*seema*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable?        | Yes/No |