

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14577-2020

113

Date of Decision: 16th September, 2020

Daroga Ram @ Daroga Singh and others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nipun Mehta, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. Despite having been asked three times, Mr. Nipun Mehta, learned Counsel for the Petitioners has been unable to explain to the Court if any steps were taken by the Petitioners after issuance of the Letters of Intent ('LOIs') dated 2nd August, 2016. He has also been unable to point to any letters purportedly written by the Petitioners to the Estate Officer, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority. There is absolutely nothing stated in the writ petition about actual steps taken by the Petitioners, if any, during the four-year period subsequent to the LOIs being issued.
2. It appears to the Court that the Petitioners have not been diligent in taking steps pursuant to the issuance of the LOIs. In these circumstances, this Court is not in a position to grant to the Petitioners the relief prayed for by them.
3. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

16th September, 2020
Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No