

CRM-M-26914-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.10.2020

Shyam Lal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**Present:** Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Report dated 28.09.2020 of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sonipat, has been received whereby after recording statements of respondent-Monika and accused/petitioners, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of their own free will, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offence for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.593 dated 20.08.2020 registered at Police Station Sonapat City District Sonapat under Sections 323, 354-D, 427 and 34 of IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR *qua* the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

28.10.2020

Neha

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No