

CRM-M-25668-2019

Date of Decision : January 17, 2020

Balwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. ..Respondents

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Today, there is no representation of behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Talwandi Sabo, filed today in the Court and as per the same, the petitioner is involved in following 06 FIRs :-

- (1) FIR No.44 dated 3.10.1997 under Sections 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Longowal;
- (2) FIR No.99 dated 3.8.1999 under Sections 376/342/506/ 295-A/420/468 IPC, registered at Police Station Sardulgarh;
- (3) FIR No.53 dated 5.8.2014 under Section 420 IPC, Police Station Kabar Wala;
- (4) FIR No.275 dated 10.10.2017 under Sections 420/406 IPC, registered at Police Station Nathana;
- (5) FIR No.123 dated 12.6.2019 under Sections 420/406 IPC, registered at Police Station Raman; and
- (6) FIR No.262 dated 11.11.2019 under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Raman.

It is further stated that even on an earlier occasion CRM-M-51598-2018 was filed in which this Court had directed the Senior Superintendent of police, Bathinda to conduct an enquiry and in pursuance thereof, in enquiry it was found that there was no substance and the same was filed. It is also stated that thereafter, the petitioner filed COCP-1219-2019, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 23.10.2019.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Paramjit Singh has submitted that in the earlier petition, i.e. CRM-M-51598-2018, the petitioner has stated that the son of the petitioner-Hardeep Singh committed suicide on account of harassment caused by respondents No.5 to 22. However, when proceedings under Section 174 Cr.P.C. were conducted, at that time both, the petitioner and his son, have given statement to the police that his son had gone to meet his daughter Harjeet Kaur, who was residing at Kalanwali and on next day his son-in-law informed him that the condition of his son deteriorated and thereafter, the police initiated proceedings under Section 174 Cr.P.C. It is further stated that an enquiry was conducted by the DSP, Talwandi Sabo and in those proceedings the petitioner did not join the enquiry, despite giving notice. It is further submitted that 21 representations filed by the petitioner were entrusted to the SHO, Police Station Rama and DSP, Talwandi Sabo and in most of the enquiries proceedings, he has not joined.

In view of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Talwandi Sabo and in view of the fact that nobody is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, this petition is rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

January 17, 2020
satish