

113.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6356-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.02.2020.

PINKY AND ANR

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.K. Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 to 7.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as well as the family members of petitioner No.2, at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and other associates. Prayer has also been made for issuance of directions to the Illaqa Magistrate, District Amritsar to record the statement of petitioner No.1 under Section 164 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.3 dated 05.01.2018 registered under Sections 363/366-A of IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 at Police Station Sadar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajinder Kumar, states that in aforesaid FIR No.3, dated 05.01.2018, police has already conducted investigation and as against 6 accused, 5 accused have

been found innocent whereas PO proceedings have been initiated against Kamal, who happens to be the son of petitioner No.2.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Heard.

Since 5 persons have been found innocent and the accused Kamal has already been declared Proclaimed Offender, present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed as such.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

24.02.2020

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No