
**CWP No.13716 of 2020
Date of Decision: 01.10.2020**

Mandhir Singh Todd and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

RBL Bank Ltd. and another

..... Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Abhayveer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunal Tandon, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Arindam Dey, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Society.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have sent an e-mail to respondent No.3 but no response is there, whereas, learned counsel for respondent No.3-Association submits that an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- approximately, is outstanding against the petitioners.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the outstanding amount is Rs.4.14 lakhs as on the date of taking possession of the apartment.

Let the amount of Rs.4.14 lakhs be deposited by the petitioners with the respondent-Association within a period of two days. In case, the amount is not deposited within the stipulated period, the petitioners shall have no equity in their favour to pursue this case.

In case, there is any difference in calculation of the amount from either side, the parties are at liberty to move an application for modification of the order.

The present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

October, 01 2020

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No