

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CWP No.13730 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 07, 2020

Sukhraj Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

By way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners herein are *inter alia* seeking a direction to the respondents to grant them benefits of revised pay scale equivalent to the pay scales granted to the Agriculture Development Officers, in the same terms as given to the petitioners in the judgment rendered in CWP No.18663 of 2013 and CWP No.21483 of 2013 (Annexure P-4) and also the order dated 10.01.2020 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the respondents herein are not revising the pay scale of the petitioners illegally and arbitrarily. It is contended that grant of different pay scales to the equivalent posts, who are throughout maintaining parity, is a gross violation

of the law on parity in pay scales.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, through the medium of video conferencing, Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that grievances of the petitioners will be sorted out in terms of the Annexures P-4 and P-6.

I have heard counsel for the parties and in view of the submissions made by the State counsel, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents-State to treat the instant writ petition as a representation of the petitioners and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order, by passing a speaking order, while considering Annexures P-4 and P-6.

September 07, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No