

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.845 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2020

Sita Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Aseem Kataria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate with
Mr. A.S. Thind, Advocate for respondent No.5.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the complainant, who is revisionist before this Court, does not want to proceed further with the revision petition and has instructed him to withdraw the same, therefore, he be permitted to do so.

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate appearing for respondent No.5 admits the factum of compromise between the parties.

It being so, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn as compromised.

20.01.2020

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No