

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CWP No.13728 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 07, 2020

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

By way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner herein seeks issuance of a direction in the nature of mandamus to respondent No.2 to recommend the case of the petitioner for appointment on the post of Male Constable (General Duty) as he has secured marks equal to the last candidate whose case has been recommended for appointment and posts are also lying vacant as many candidates, whose names were recommended as per recommendation list (Annexure P-8) are not joining on the said post and 06 such candidates have given undertakings (Annexures P-10 to P-15) regarding not joining on the said post.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, through the medium of video conferencing, Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that grievances of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this court deems it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to the respondents-State to treat the instant writ petition as a representation of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of date of receipt of this order, by passing a speaking order and if the petitioner is found eligible, offer him appointment within a period of two weeks thereafter.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 07, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No