

AATMA RAM

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khanna, DAG, Punjab.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the persons mentioned in Para No.2 of the petition have been detained in a Brick Kiln under the name and style Gupta Bricks, Village Akoi Sahib, Police Station Ballian (Sadar) Tehsil and District Sangrur. He has already submitted a representation dated 28.02.2020 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken in the matter.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day through email.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter may be disposed of by giving appropriate directions to respondent No.2 to take action on the representation, expeditiously.

Without making any further observations with regard to the

genuineness of the allegations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through the representation dated 28.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously in accordance with law.

03.09.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No