

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26466-2020(O&M)
Decided on :September 7, 2020**

Ballu

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG., Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 201 dated 10.8.2020 under Sections 61,1,14 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914 registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case and the alleged recovery does not find mention in the FIR in question which gives credence to a false case having been planted on him.

Heard.

The instant FIR has been registered on the basis of secret information. It need not be emphasized that the FIR was registered only after receipt of ruka sent on behalf of the police officials who had received the secret information qua the petitioner indulging in the distilling of illicit

liquor. Hence, non-mentioning of the quantity recovered in the FIR in question cannot be a ground of alleged false implication. Moreover, after the raid had been conducted at the house of the petitioner, in pursuance to the secret information, the recovery of 40 bottles of illicit liquor was effected and the petitioner on seeing the raiding party fled from the spot.

In the facts and circumstances, no ground is made out to extend the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 7, 2020
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No