

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26576-2020

Date of decision : 17.11.2020

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2020/11/18 10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Satbir Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for petitioner.
Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana.
Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for complainant.

-. -

-. -

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

By filing this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 136 dated 31.7.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 328, 376, 506, 511, 34 IPC and under Section 67 A of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 (Section 511 IPC removed subsequently) at Police Station Babain, District Kurukshetra.

Notice of motion was issued to State. Meanwhile, petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail and directed to join investigation.

Learned State counsel submitted that petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Order dated 8.9.2020 granting interim anticipatory bail to petitioner is made absolute. However petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so by Investigating Agency and shall abide by conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

17.11.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No