

217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.26349 of 2020
Date of Decision: 10.12.2020

RANJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manreet Singh Nagra, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.42 dated 07.06.2020 registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 34 IPC at P.S. Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

On 28.09.2020, following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

“CRM-22008-2020

Application for exemption from filing certified and typed copy of Annexures A-1 & A-2 is allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

It is contended by learned counsel for petitioner that there is no specific role attributed to petitioner; rather allegations are only against the son of petitioner, namely, Sandeep Singh that victim eloped with him in the intervening night of 05/06.06.2020.

Learned State counsel seeks more time to file written response in terms of order dated 7th September 2020.

On his request, adjourned to 10.12.2020.

In the meanwhile, petitioner will join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

28 September, 2020
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE"

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from SI Shinder Singh. He further submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 28.09.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he

shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)
Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

December 10, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No