

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-26300-2020(O&M)

Date of Order:01.10.2020

Parkash Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

On 07.09.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.263, dated 23.08.2020, registered under Section 420/467/468/471 IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Sirsa, District Sirsa.

In a nutshell, the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner after getting the vehicle financed from the first informant in the year 2012, paid installments for some time but thereafter, sold the truck after colluding with the officials from the office of Regional Transport Authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that out of the total amount financed, the petitioner had infact repaid the entire amount. However, still when the dispute was raised, the matter was settled at Rs.2,35,000/- out which a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- was paid, whereas the remaining amount i.e. Rs.1,35,000/- was required to be paid upto 20.11.2020. He submits that the petitioner is ready to pay the amount.

Notice of motion for 01.10.2020.

On the request of this court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to

furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let the office issue notice to respondent no.2, for the date fixed.”

Learned counsel appearing for the State, on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation at this stage.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the interim order dated 07.09.2020, passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

October 01, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No