

209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26197 of 2020
Date of Decision : 30.09.2020

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for the complainant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Status report filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the complainant is yet to be examined, he does not press this petition any further. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the complainant be the first witness to be examined so that after the examination of the complainant, if permissible, the petitioner can apply for the grant of bail thereafter.

In the facts and circumstances of this case, as the petitioner has not pressed this petition any further, a direction is issued to the trial Court to

examine the complainant as the first witness so that, if permissible, keeping in view the circumstances, at that stage, the petitioner can avail the remedy seeking bail as per law.

The present petition stands disposed of in above terms.

September 30, 2020
aarti/naresh k.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No