

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-6829-2020

Date of Decision : September 04, 2020

Neelam Sharma

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The petitioner, a widow aged 61 years, complains of inaction on the part of the police authorities upon her representation dated 30.08.2020. According to her, she had approached the police authorities on 27.08.2020 and brought the events that took place on 26.08.2020 to their notice. Her grievance was that though an entry was made in the General Diary on the said date, no steps were taken thereafter. She thereupon submitted a representation on 30.08.2020 to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, but no response was received. Hence, this writ petition.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, would state on instruction from SI Bhupinder Singh,

Police Station Chheharta, that upon due inquiry, the police had decided to file the petitioner's complaint as the matter was a civil dispute. He would state that the same will be shortly informed to the petitioner by way of a speaking order, as required by Section 157(2) Cr.P.C.

Recording the said statement, the writ petition is disposed of leaving it open to the petitioner to take recourse to legal remedies thereafter, in accordance with law, if she still has any grievance.

September 04, 2020
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No