

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**207**

**CRM-M-26266-2020**

**Date of Decision: 09.09.2020**

**RANDEEP SINGH**

....Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA**

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

----

**Present:** Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Additional AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)**

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Randeep Singh** in case F.I.R. No.177 dated 26.05.2020 under Sections 201, 380 and 457 IPC registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that co-accused namely Gaurav and Shubham @ Nijja have already been released on bail by Sessions Court, vide orders dated 06.08.2020 and 17.08.2020 respectively. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2020 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further urges that since investigation as well as consequent trial

**CRM-M-26266-2020**

**:2:**

of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2020; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose and since investigation and consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner- **Randeep Singh** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Area Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Kurukshetra, as the case may be.

**(LALIT BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.09.2020**

*rimpal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether reportable : No