

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

ARB No.134 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2020

M/s ABW Suncity

.....Petitioner

versus

Kishen M. Seshadri and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of the restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

The petitioner-M/s ABW Suncity, has filed the present petition under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act') (as amended) for appointment of Sole Arbitrator under Agreement dated 16.01.2010.

Learned counsel for the petitioner based on pleadings in the petition submits that the petitioner had developed a residential group housing project known as "LA LAGUNE" situated in Sector 54, Golf Course Road, Gurugram. Thereafter, reference has been made to the Apartment Buyers Agreement dated 16.01.2010 (Annexure P-2) and also

Conveyance Deed dated 28.02.2014. It is submitted by making reference to para 6 of petition that liability of payment of the taxes was acknowledged and confirmed by the respondents in the Conveyance Deed. Learned counsel by making reference to para 13 of the petition submits that the petitioner vide demand letter dated 27.06.2017, apprised the respondents about applicability of VAT on sale of apartment and requested them to make payment of the total amount of Rs.1,40,556/- within a period of 30 days. Thereafter, learned counsel also by making reference to para 18 of the petition submits that as per clause 75 of the Agreement, the dispute regarding non-payment of the outstanding amount was to be decided by a sole arbitrator. He, however, submits that since the petitioner nominee has become ineligible to act as an Arbitrator, therefore, the petitioner is unable to appoint any person to act as Sole Arbitrator.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. He, on instructions, submits that he has no objection in case an Arbitrator from the Panel of Arbitrators is appointed.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, Mr. BM Bedi, District and Sessions Judge (Retd.), is appointed as the Sole Arbitrator. However, such appointment would be subject to the declaration to be made by Mr. BM Bedi, District and Sessions Judge (Retd.), under Section 12 of the Act; with regard to his independence and impartiality to settle the disputes between the parties.

The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings within the time limit specified under Section 29A of the Act.

The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Act, as amended or as may be mutually settled by the parties and the Arbitrator.

A copy of the order be forwarded to Mr.BM Bedi, District and Sessions Judge (Retd.) at the given address:-

22, Sector-4,

Panchkula.

Landline No.0172-4173603

After seeking the convenience of the Arbitrator, the parties are directed to appear before him within one month.

The matter is disposed of in the above terms.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

09.09.2020

jyoti3

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No