

COCP No.1781 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.11.2020

Raj Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Amitabh Singh Dhillon

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10& 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating action against the respondent for willful and deliberate disobedience of order (Annexure P-1) dated 30.06.2020 in CWP No.7179 of 2020 in case titled as '**Raj Rani**versus**State of Haryana and others.**'

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 30.06.2020, CWP No.7179 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the respondent to treat the writ petition as an appeal and to decide the same at the earliest but despite lapse of a number of months, no decision has been taken on the appeal, therefore, the respondent is liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Learned State Counsel, on instructions from the respondent, states that needful could not be done due to circumstances prevailing on account of

Corona Virus Pandemic but in case 04 weeks time is granted, orders dated 30.06.2020 in CWP No.7179 of 2020 would be complied with and a decision taken on the appeal.

5. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such, at this stage, with liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

6. Accordingly, in the light of the statements of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed at this stage, while directing the respondent to decide the appeal, in accordance with law in terms of order dated 30.06.2020 in CWP No.7179 of 2020 within 04 weeks from today.

7. However, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.11.2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No