

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on account of
Covid-19 Pandemic)**

CRWP-6794-2020

Date of Decision: 04.09.2020

RAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are in relationship, though, petitioner No.1 has not attained the age for valid marriage and even petitioner No.2 is minor at present.

Respondent No.6 who is the father of petitioner No.2 was contemplating to solemnize her marriage though she has not attained the age of majority. Petitioner No.2 has left her house and taken shelter in the house of petitioner No.1. Petitioner No.2 has already submitted a representation dated 28.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No. 3.

It has also been stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that subsequently he has received the information that an FIR bearing No. 390 dated 27.08.2020 under Sections 363 and 366 has been registered at Police Station Islamabad, District Amritsar. He further contends that presently, the petitioners are residing at Ferozepur.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of the allegations, as put forth in the aforesaid representation, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioners, in accordance with law.

This order is not to be construed as an opinion of any opinion with regard to the relationship of the petitioners or any civil or criminal proceedings.

04.09.2020
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No