

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on account of Covid-19
Pandemic)**

CRWP-6800-2020

Date of Decision: 04.09.2020

KIRAN RANI AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have solemnized the marriage, though, petitioner No.2 has not yet attained the age for valid marriage. He further contends that the petitioners are apprehending threat and danger to their life and liberty at the instance of private respondents and in this regard, a representation dated 01.09.2020 contained at Annexure P-5 has already been submitted to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

In the instant matter, the concern of the Court is not to look into the validity of the marriage but the primary concern is to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners in the event of any perceived threat at the instance of private respondents as such right has been guaranteed to them under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of the allegations, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners, as projected in the aforesaid representation, in accordance with law and furthermore, take remedial action, if the apprehension of danger is real and genuine.

This order is not to be construed as expression of any opinion with regard to legality and validity of relationship and marriage of the petitioners or with regard to any civil or criminal proceedings.

04.09.2020

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No