

Anil

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 84 dated 18.03.2020 registered under Section 379-A IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Chandhut, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial in this case is not likely to be concluded at an early date as even charges have not yet been framed. The petitioner has been named as an accused only on the disclosure statement of co-accused. There are no other criminal cases pending against the petitioner and, thus, he may be granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes that charges have not yet been framed and that there are no other criminal cases pending against the petitioner. He also concedes that the petitioner was arrested on 02.06.2020 and has been nominated only on a disclosure made by co-accused.

From the above, it is evident that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. There is no clinching evidence available against the petitioner and, thus no useful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody indefinitely.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

September 09, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No