

Review Application-CW-176-2020 (O&M) in CWP-11830-2020

Dr. Ashok Kumar Yadav vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: - Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate, for the non-applicant/writ petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, with Mr. Minderjit Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

.....

The writ petitioner approached this Court seeking a copy of the enquiry report dated 17.3.2020 submitted by the Internal Complaints Committee of the third respondent-College. The writ petition was disposed of on 14.8.2020, directing the authorities concerned to take necessary action upon the representations made by the petitioner in this regard, keeping in mind the statutory mandate of Section 13 (1) of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.

While so, the applicant, upon whose complaint, the Internal Complaints Committee allegedly looked into the matter and submitted the report on 17.3.2020, filed the subject applications. In essence, she wants to be brought on record as respondent No.4 in this case so as to enable her to seek review of the aforesaid order dated 14.8.2020.

However, Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, learned counsel for the writ petitioner, would inform this Court that pursuant to the order dated 14.8.2020 passed in this writ petition, the report dated 17.3.2020 has

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, learned counsel for the applicant, would advance arguments touching upon the merits of the complaint made by his client against the petitioner and the value to be attached to the report dated 17.3.2020.

Significantly, these aspects do not fall for consideration in this writ petition as the limited grievance of the petitioner was to the effect that he had not been furnished a copy of the report which he was entitled to, in terms of the statutory provision. Further, perusal of the order dated 14.8.2020 passed by this Court demonstrates that no mention whatsoever was made therein as to the validity of the said report or the value to be attached to it. If the applicant has any grievance with the said report, it is for her to work out her remedies in accordance with law by way of independent proceedings.

Leaving it open to the applicant to do so, the implead application and all the other applications, viz., CM-8497, 8499, 8500, 8501, 8502-2020 in/and Review Application-176-2020, are hereby dismissed.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

07.09.2020
preeti