

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6875-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 5.10.2020

Azad Singh

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Bandana Trikha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.P. Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India is for extension of parole for remaining two weeks, as
the petitioner is to look after his father, who is in critical condition, due to
respiratory problem.

The petitioner was convicted and sentenced by the Court in
U.K. on account of murder of his wife on 26.7.2017, to undergo life
imprisonment with the recommendation that minimum of 14 years is to be
served before the release.

Reply filed by respondents No.1 and 2 is taken on record. No
reply on behalf of respondent No.4 is filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring two previous

previous two occasions, the petitioner was granted parole on the same very ground. The said orders are dated 17.6.2020 and 6.8.2020 and their copies are available on the record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has not denied the fact regarding release of the petitioner on parole on two previous occasions. It is also clear that the petitioner has not misused the said orders of parole and rather he surrendered well in time. Presently, he is lodged in District Jail, Sangrur. The condition of the father of the petitioner is stated to be critical. As per medical certificate (Annexure P-1), he is suffering from Dyspnea and Coronary Artery Disease.

Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted 30 days parole, subject to his furnishing bonds with two local sureties to the satisfaction of the competent authority/ concerned Duty Magistrate. The petitioner shall surrender before the concerned jail authorities on the date and time to be fixed by the releasing Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

October 5, 2020
Paritosh Kumar

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No