

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
202
(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CRM-M-25942-2020

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 18, 2020

Lal Chand

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. P. S. Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl.A.G.,Haryana,
for the State.

Mr. Daljeet Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Having considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties, especially with reference to reply to Para 4 of the petition, wherein it has been stated as under:-

“That regarding contents of para No.4 of the petition it is submitted that inquiry into the allegations contained in the complaint dated 21.8.2020 (Annexure P-3) was conducted by the local police but no truth has been found in it. From the inquiry it came to light that after release on bail the accused/private respondent No.2 has never come to the house

of the petitioner/complainant, rather he has started to live at Sirsa. Regarding solemnization of the marriage of prosecutrix, after attaining the age of 18 years, by her father/present petitioner, it is submitted that neither the petitioner has mentioned the name of village/city where the girl has been married nor any complaint has been received by the local police regarding the visit of accused to the matrimonial house of prosecutrix and issuance of threats, as alleged in this para.”

would show the reason why the petitioner has approached the Court for cancellation of bail, which was granted by this Court vide order dated 14.08.2020 (Annexure P-2), has been found to be without any basis.

In view of the above, no ground for cancelling the bail granted to respondent No.2 is made out.

The present petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

November 18, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No