

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-25865 of 2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: September 03, 2020**

|                 |        |                 |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| Jyoti Arora     |        | .....Petitioner |
|                 | Versus |                 |
| State of Punjab |        | .... Respondent |

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

Present : Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner Jyoti Arora has come up before this Court in this first anticipatory bail application moved under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 105 dated 20.07.2020 registered under Sections 302 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station C Division, Police Commissionerate, District Amritsar.

A case was got registered by complainant Naresh Kumar father of deceased Komal. It is alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with accused Akash Arora in the year 2016, out of which, a son now aged around 2 ½ years was born. It is alleged that accused being unhappy with the deceased threw her many a times out of her matrimonial home as the husband was having illicit relationship with another woman and that the present petitioner often used to beat the deceased and threw her out of the house. During the intervening night of 19/20.07.2020 the deceased was compelled to commit suicide by hanging.

Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that petitioner is aged mother-in-law and has no specific role to play in the the commission of the offence and has to maintain the minor child of the deceased. The counsel has sought to take refuge of pandemic COVID-19 to hold out that the trial is not likely to be completed in near future.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab, the learned State counsel has strongly opposed the bail on the grounds of heinousness of the allegations and the fact that if allowed bail, the petitioner might influence the trial.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations, there being specific averments against the petitioner in the commission of the offence and that the investigations and the

trial are at crucial stage, this Court is not inclined to grant bail.

Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

**September 03, 2020**  
amit rana

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No