

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6764-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2020

GURSHARAN KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Dr.Sumati Jund, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. N.K.Banka, DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of the direction to respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty. The petitioners claim that they are major and have married against the wishes of respondent no.4 to 8.

On 3.9.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 8 as they have entered into marriage on 31.08.2020, against the wishes of private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab
accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General Punjab through e-mail, during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.

On his request, adjourned to 18.09.2020.”

Sh. Banka, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Davinder Singh states that respondent no. 4 to 8 have made a statement before the investigating officer that they will not interfere in the married life of the petitioners and they have no objection to their marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that still the petitioners and the family of petitioner no.2 are receiving threats.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib-respondent no.2, who is expected to look into the grievance of the petitioners and take remedial measures, if required.

Disposed of.

23.09.2020
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No