

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1754-2020

Date of Decision: 09.12.2020

SURENDER KUMAR BANSAL

...Petitioner

V/S

T.V.S.N. PRASAD AND OTHERS

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. I.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.4.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 14.10.2019, in CWP-29225-2019.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 14.10.2019, CWP-29225-2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to finalize inquiry proceedings against the petitioner within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, but despite lapse of the aforementioned period of time, needful was not done, therefore, the instant petition was filed for initiating proceedings against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Learned counsel, however, fairly states that today order has been passed in CM-12884-CWP-2020 in CWP-29225-2019, extending

time for finalizing inquiry proceedings upto 15.01.2021 and that in the

circumstances he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition if the circumstances of the case so warrant.

4. The same is not opposed by learned counsel for the respondents.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.12.2020

'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No