

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 13582 of 2020

Date of Decision: 04.09.2020

Rajesh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing the present petition and would peruse representation already made through e-mail on 24th August, 2020. It is submitted that the fact of making representation is pleaded in the writ petition, however, the copy has not been attached.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana appearing on advance notice submits that in case representation is made the same would be considered in accordance with law and would be decided expeditiously.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of.

Petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance

with law if aggrieved of order passed.

In view of Clause 8 sub-clause (xiv) of the transfer policy, it is clarified that mere pendency of representation will not be considered as an impediment for the petitioner to join the new station. However, his joining would be subject to the outcome of the decision on the representation.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

4th September, 2020
sham

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |