

253.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24962-2019

Date of decision: 29.01.2020

SUMANJIT SINGH AND ANR.

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.181 dated 22.11.2017 registered under Sections 406, 498-A of IPC at Police Station Mataur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Petitioner No.1-Sumanjit Singh and petitioner No.2-Balbir Kaur are respectively the husband and mother-in-law of respondent No.2-complainant-Harveer Kaur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and acting on the said compromise, the parties have filed a joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Even the statement at the first motion stage has been recorded on 05.08.2019 and the case is now fixed for second motion statement on 10.02.2020.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, states that in the aforesaid FIR, police has prepared cancellation report and the same has duly been presented before the court for its consideration.

At this stage, Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and filed his *vakalatnama*, which is taken on record. He, on instructions from the complainant, who is present in the Court, does not dispute the very compromise entered between the parties.

In view of above, present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed as such.

However, in case the cancellation report submitted by the prosecution is not accepted, the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.01.2020

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No