

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-26139-2020

Date of decision: 30.10.2020

Jaikam

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioner has filed the present (first) petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, "Cr.P.C") for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 326 dated 16.08.2020 registered under Sections 5/13(2), 3/13(1), 8/13(3) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 at Police Station Ferozpur Jhirka, District Nuh.

While issuing notice of motion on 04.09.2020, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner with direction to join the investigation.

The petition has been opposed by the learned State counsel in terms of status report filed by way of affidavit of Chander Pal, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ferozpur Jhirka.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner has not committed any offence. The petitioner was not arrested on the spot and no recovery was made from his possession. The petitioner along with Hanif @ Kala, Iqbal @ Kala and Shorab is alleged to have slaughtered cows and recovery of 6 cows, 2 oxen, 3 calves and twenty four skin has been falsely planted on the petitioner. In compliance with order dated 04.09.2020, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the petition and submitted that in view of gravity of accusation, the petitioner does not deserve grant of anticipatory bail and the petition may be dismissed.

However, learned State counsel has, on instructions from Inspector Mahesh Pal, acknowledged that in compliance with order dated 04.09.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required for effecting any recovery.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation against the petitioner, the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the case and there is no material to justify the apprehension of the petitioner fleeing from justice or tempering with evidence or criminally intimidating the prosecution witnesses but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the grant of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and order

dated 04.09.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation again if and as and when called upon to do so and shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) (i) to (iii) of the Cr.P.C. In view of Section 438(2)(iv) read with Section 437(3)(b) of the Cr.P.C. anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall also be subject to the condition that he shall not commit any similar offence under the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 after his release on anticipatory bail. In case of non-compliance of the above-said conditions, the petitioner shall not be entitled to the protection of anticipatory bail allowed to him.

30.10.2020

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No