

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24676 OF 2019
Date of Decision:06.02.2020**

MOHINDER SINGH MAKKAR Petitioner

Versus

JASLEEN KAUR MAKKAR Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr.Suneet Singh Deol, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.A.P.S.Sandhu, Advocate for respondent.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner herein seeking to challenge a complaint filed *qua* the petitioner under Section 125 Cr.P.C. on the ground that being father-in-law he is not liable to make any payment of maintenance.
2. Notice of motion was issued pursuant to which appearance has been caused on behalf of the complainant. Counsel for the complainant would very fairly submit that this petition can be disposed of as on the next date of hearing, the matter before the trial Court will be withdrawn *qua* the petitioner herein.
3. Counsel for the petitioner prays that in case the said petition is not withdrawn he may be given liberty to revive the instant petition.
4. I have heard counsel for the parties and in view of the statement that has been made by the counsel for respondent that the complaint *qua* the father-in-law would be withdrawn before the lower Court on the next date fixed, no

further proceedings are called for in this petition. The petition is accordingly disposed of having rendered infructuous. However, liberty is given to the petitioner herein to revive these proceedings in case the said statement is not honoured by the respondent.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

06.02.2020
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No