

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-25911-2020

Date of Decision:11.09.2020

Anand Gill

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.104 dated 07.04.2020 under Sections 20/27-A of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner was indulged in such kind of illegal work and no case is pending against him, rather recovery was not effected from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He submits that it is on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused, petitioner has been named as an accused in the FIR.

As per FIR, two persons, namely, Raju and Kulwant were apprehended. On checking, two plastic bags of narcotic substances were

found, whereby 7 kg 300 grams of ganja was recovered from their possession. They were arrested and thereafter, they suffered the disclosure statement to the effect that they have purchased the above said contraband from Anand Gill, the present petitioner.

Considering the fact that the co-accused Raju and Kulwant have disclosed that they have purchased the contraband from the petitioner, in order to unearth the involvement of the petitioner or other accused, the custody of the petitioner is necessary.

Accordingly, no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

September 11, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No