

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14638 of 2011

Date of decision: 03.02.2020

Parkash Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Punjab State Electricity Board Patiala and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

On 31.08.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to place on record copy of the service book and other documents such as school leaving certificate but the said order has not been complied with by the learned counsel for the petitioner and despite that fact that six opportunities have been granted to comply with order dated 31.08.2017, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last three dates of hearing. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition any further.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

03.02.2020

JUDGE

aarti 1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No