

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-25641-2020
Decided on : 02.09.2020**

Baljeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Manu Loona, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.H.S.Sullar, DAG, Punjab.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video conferencing,
as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.90 dated 02.07.2020 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

Counsel for the petitioner vainly tried to argue that the injuries attributed to the petitioner do not correspond with the injuries on the person of the injured.

A perusal of the FIR which was lodged by Mandeep Singh, the complainant, would go on to show that the petitioner along with 3 other persons, assaulted the complainant with sharp edged weapons. The name of the petitioner has specifically been mentioned and who had opened the attack with kappa. The same is stated to have hit on the right bicep and even when the complainant had fallen down attacks continued and the petitioner along with others was attributed another injury on the left hand finger and backside of the left leg.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-25641-2020

-2-

A perusal of the MLR would also go on to show that there were 7 injuries upon the person of the complainant out of which 3 are incised wounds and injury No.5 is stated to be grievous on the left hand for-finger. In the case of Manoj, co-accused, the anticipatory bail was declined in **CRM-M-20306-2020** titled *Manoj Vs. State of Punjab*, on 24.07.2020 on account of noticing the said facts. State Counsel in the said case had also pointed out on instructions from ASI-Baldev Singh that Section 379B had also been added as Rs.1 lakh which was lying with the complainant was also snatched.

In such circumstances, recovery of weapons and cash is to be effected which can only be done through custodial interrogation. The motive of attack is also to be investigated. Resultantly, keeping in view the above facts and for the reasons given in Manoj Kumar (supra), no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail and the present petition is, hereby dismissed.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

SEPTEMBER 2, 2020

sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No