

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.109

CRWP No.6697 of 2020

Date of Decision: 02nd September, 2020.

Kavita & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr.Ashwani Nagra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl.AG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

Case has been taken up through video conferencing in view of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, on 28.08.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl.AG, Haryana, at the asking of the

Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.09.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No