

S.No.111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25636 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.09.2020

Harjinder Singh alias Pendu alias Ramu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

=====

IN VIRTUAL COURT

=====

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0046 dated 06.07.2020 registered under Sections 452/324/148/149 IPC and (Section 326 IPC added lateron) at Police Station Kotfatta, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the case against the petitioner had emerged only because of some misunderstanding on the part of the complainant. However, through the intervention of the elders and respectables, the said misconception has been removed and the matter has already been settled between the parties. Hence, the petitioner deserves concession of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State. Mr. Pushpinder Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2- complainant.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh, has submitted that there are specific allegations against the petitioner. The investigation of the case is underway. Therefore, the petitioner does not deserve any concession of bail. However, the counsel for the complainant has submitted that the matter has already been settled between the parties. The complainant does not have any objection if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail by this Court.

In view of the above submissions, but without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, it is directed that in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 02, 2020
renu

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No