

Devender

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

On 29.09.2020, the following order had been passed:-

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

“Pursuant to the order dated 10.09.2020, learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Anil Kumar, submits that the petitioner has not even joined investigation. In fact, a reply of a gazetted officer has been sent by way of a 'Whatsapp' communication to the Reader of this court, a printout of which has been sent to me.

A perusal thereof shows that a CCTV footage is also being filed as an annexure with the said reply.

Adjourned to 09.10.2020, with the reply of the State as also the CCTV footage to be positively filed within 3 days from today, with a copy thereof (including the CCTV footage) to be given to learned counsel for the petitioner as also learned counsel for the complainant.

As regards non-joining the investigation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has an audio clip of the petitioner requesting the investigating officer that he be allowed to join investigation and in fact he having joined investigation thereafter.

The said audio clip be also placed on record by counsel for the petitioner within 3 days, by way of a proper application.”

Though learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Anil Kumar, submits that the petitioner has not joined investigation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise having been reached between the parties, the petitioner no longer wishes to pursue this petition.

It is to be noticed that upon query to Mr. Sanghi (appearing for the complainant), he submits that he has no knowledge of any such compromise.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner himself wishes to withdraw this petition, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

October 09, 2020
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.