

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-25926-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 29.09.2020

SUKHRAJ SINGH

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in Complaint No.2840/2012 dated 18.10.2012 titled 'Neelam v. Sukhraj Singh', under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, pending in the Court of JMIC, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that the cheque for a sum of ₹5,60,000/- issued by him in favour of respondent No. 2 is stated to have been dishonoured. He also contends that earlier petitioner had been appearing before the trial Court, but later due to illness of his father, who was confined in hospital, he could not appear and, consequently, his bail was cancelled. He also contends that the petitioner is ready and willing to settle the matter with the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 03.09.2020, had directed the petitioner to deposit the demand draft equivalent to the cheque amount in favour of respondent No.2 before the trial court and on his doing so, he was to be released on ad interim bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had put in appearance before the trial Court and had deposited the demand draft with the trial Court in terms of the order of this Court dated 03.09.2020 and the petitioner had been granted ad interim bail by the trial Court by its order dated 09.09.2020. Learned counsel has also furnished a copy of the order dated 09.09.2020 of the trial Court.

In view of the above, the order dated 03.09.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.09.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No