

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 28450-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 23, 2020

Ranvir alias Pawan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.468 dated 08.10.2018 under Sections 186, 224, 225, 353, 307, 34/120-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station SGM Nagar, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner has been implicated falsely in the above-said FIR on the basis of disclosure statement made by one of the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody since 11.11.2018 and no recovery is to be made from him. He further relies upon the cross-examination of the complainant wherein it has come forth that the complainant did not know any of the companion of under trial Vikas Dalal and the police never got conducted any

identification parade after the arrest of the petitioner at any point of time. It is further argued that vide orders Annexure P-2 passed by this Court, concession of regular bail has been granted to the co-accused and therefore, the petitioner seeks similar relief on the basis of parity. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State opposes the bail application while submitting that no ground is made for granting bail to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. While taking into consideration the fact that no identification parade was conducted by the police and that the petitioner herein has already gone a substantial period of incarceration and co-accused have already been granted concession of bail by this Court vide Annexure P-2, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the petitioner regular bail. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

September 23, 2020
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No