

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6766-2020

Date of Decision: 06.10.2020

Neha and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are apprehending threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

On 03.09.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 6 as they have entered into marriage on 27.08.2020, against the wishes of private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

At this stage. Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General Haryana through e-mail, during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.

On his request, adjourned to 21.09.2020.”

Today learned State counsel has filed a short reply by way of affidavit of Raj Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula,

on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, and also produced statements of the

petitioners and stated that as on today, they are not facing any threat and do not need any protection. Their statements are also appended with the reply (Annexures R-1 and R-2).

In view of the statements of the petitioners, the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

06.10.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No