

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6767-2020

Date of decision-03.09.2020

Manpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. J.K.Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226/227 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they are facing threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 is major whereas petitioner No.2 is minor and both are residing together. It is further contended that there is threat to petitioner No.1 from the private respondents (parents of petitioner No.1) as they are compelling her to marry with some other person against her wishes. In this regard, a representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) has been submitted to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa by the petitioners.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that when the parents of the petitioner No.1 were apprised by their relationship, they allegedly objected to it. As per representation, the

petitioner No.1 left her house. It was apprehended by the petitioners that the parents of petitioner No.1 may cause harm to them and sought protection from respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Considering the pleadings, representation and submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court does not find any reason to invoke the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction, as mere objection by the parents of petitioner No.1 to the proposed alliance cannot be construed as a threat to the life and liberty. Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

03.09.2020
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No