

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

206

CRM-M-25803-2020

Date of Decision: 09.09.2020

AKIB

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Additional AG, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Akib** in case F.I.R. No.143 dated 19.02.2020 under Sections 341 and 379-B IPC read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that co-accused namely Sanwar @ Kala has already been extended concession of bail by this Court, vide order dated 17.08.2020. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2020 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further urges that

CRM-M-25803-2020

:2:

challan has already been presented in the Court on 09.04.2020 and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2020; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that challan has already been presented in Court and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner- **Akib** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Panipat, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

09.09.2020

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No