

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-13446-2020
Decided on 30.10.2020

Vishal Chhabra

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and Another

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

PRESENT:-Mr.J.S.Dahiya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\

Mr.Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr.Rajnish Shally, Advocate, for the respondent-UOI.

Mr.Amit Jhanji, Advocate, for respondent No.2-PGI.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, the learned counsel states that in para-8 of the written statement, it has been mentioned that representation of the petitioner is under consideration and the petitioner would have no objection in withdrawing this petition and awaiting the decision but some time limit be fixed therefor.

Mr.Jhanji, points out that the seat for which the petitioner has been claiming has not been filled up.

In these circumstances, we deem appropriate it to direct the respondent No.2 to take decision on the representation within two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

30.10.2020

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No