

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.104

CRWP No.6691 of 2020

Date of Decision: 02nd September, 2020.

Manpreet Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms.Monika Tanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

Case has been taken up through video conferencing in view of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondent No.4 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of this respondent. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, on 26.08.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.DAG, Punjab, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.09.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No