

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

114

CRWP-6626-2020

Date of Decision: 01.09.2020.

Raja and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Parneet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Raja (petitioner No.1) claims to be 22 years and Raffat (petitioner No.2) claims to be 17 years of age and are in a live in relationship. The petitioners seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 6. Petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P-1 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in **CWP No.31834 of 2019** titled as “**Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others**” decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

As this Court does not propose to go into the validity of the petitioners' relationship, there is no necessity to issue notice to the respondents.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations of the petitioners Annexure P-1 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

01.09.2020

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No